



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,965	05/26/2000	Hadi Partovi	0055-0002	1013
58563 7590 03/09/2007 HARRITY SNYDER, L.L.P. 11350 RANDOM HILLS ROAD SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA 22030			EXAMINER MIRZA, ADNAN M	
			ART UNIT 2145	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS			03/09/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/579,965

Applicant(s)

PARTOVI ET AL.

Examiner

Adnan M. Mirza

Art Unit

2145

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10-13, 15, 16 and 32-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7, 17-31 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-13, 15, 16 and 32-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election with traverse of 10-13,15,16, and 32-37 in the reply filed on 12/12/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examiner has not shown that a series burden from the searching and examining the claim in group I and II. This is not found persuasive as Examiner clearly shows the different subject matter that separate the claims and puts claims in different classes as shown below:

- I. Claims 1-7, 17-25, 26-31 drawn to Telecom, Classified in 455 subclass 415
- II. Claims 10-13,15-16, 32-36,37 drawn to web-base, Classified in 709 subclass 203.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 10-13,15,16,32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al (U.S. 6,101,486) and Brisebois et al (U.S. 6,330,550).

As per claims 10,34,37 Roberts disclosed in a world wide web connected computer system, a method of adding to a first user profile corresponding to a first user a data set retrieved from a second user profile corresponding to a second user in response to a single HTTP request made by the first user, the HTTP request corresponding to a URL provided by the second user to the first user and including a second user identifier corresponding to the second user profile (Roberts, col.

Art Unit: 2145

2, lines 50-59), said method comprising: (a) receiving the single HTTP request from the first user; (b) using the second user identifier to selectively retrieve the data set from the second user profile (Roberts, col. 6, lines 21-35); (d) adding the data set to the first user profile in response to determining that the single HTTP request includes the cookie that is associated with the first user profile (Roberts, col. 5, lines 16-24).

However Roberts did not disclose in detail, “(c) determining if the single HTTP request includes a cookie that is associated with the first user profile”.

In the same field of endeavor Edstrom disclosed, “ When a user visits a web site, the web-server automatically receives the user’s identification code. In requesting access to user data or completion of a transaction, the web-site server provides the user identification code to the profile server performs a confirmation operation in accordance with the instructions stored in the user profile tag. Until successful completion of the confirmation process, the server denies the part access to the user data and does not complete the transaction (col. 1, lines 45-54)”.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated the information when a user visits a web site, the web-server automatically receives the user’s identification code. In requesting access to user data or completion of a transaction, the web-site server provides the user identification code to the profile server performs a confirmation operation in accordance with the instructions stored in the user profile tag. Until successful completion of the confirmation process, the server denies the

Art Unit: 2145

part access to the user data and does not complete the transaction in the method and system of Roberts as taught by Brisebois to provide efficiently enable applications to share functionality, preferably from a single interface and that provide the flexibility scalability and user-friendliness and make it Financially valuable

3. As per claim 11 Roberts- Brisebois disclosed wherein the second user identifier is a parameter specified in the URL (Roberts, col. 2, lines 53-63).

4. As per claim 12 Roberts- Brisebois disclosed wherein the data set comprises a name and a telephone number (Roberts, col. 2, lines 53-63).

5. As per claim 13 Roberts- Brisebois disclosed wherein the data set is a vCard (Roberts, col. 2, lines 53-63).

6. As per claim 15 Roberts- Brisebois disclosed wherein the second user identifier comprises a user-ID corresponding to the second user (Brisebois, col. 3, lines 28-39).

7. As per claim 16 Roberts- Brisebois disclosed wherein the second user identifier enterprises a telephone identifying information corresponding to the second user (Brisebois, col. 3, lines 28-39).

8. As per claims 32,35 Roberts- Brisebois disclosed further comprising: requesting that the first user present authenticating information when the single request does not include a cookie that is associated with the first user profile; identifying the first user profile based on the authenticating information and adding the data set to the first user profile (Roberts, col. 5, lines 16-24).

9. As per claims 33,36 Roberts- Brisebois disclosed further comprising: creating a new user profile when the single request does not include a cookie that is associated with first user profile; and adding the data set to the new user profile (Brisebois, col. 4, lines 46-54).

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 10-13, 15, 16, 32-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

Art Unit: 2145

11. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

  
JASON CARDONE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER